

Talk 3

TOPICS:

- Susy on flat space / curved space
- Another formula for analytic index
- Path integral expression ? Elements of proof

Susy QM:

Recall Lagrangian / Hamiltonian for
traveling spin in \mathbb{R}^d

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_k \dot{x}_k + \frac{i}{2} \dot{\psi}_k \psi_k, \quad P_k = \dot{x}_k \quad \pi_k = -i \psi_k / 2$$

$$H = \dot{x}_j P_j - \dot{\psi}_j \frac{i}{2} \psi_j - L = \frac{1}{2} P^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta$$

$$\{x_j, P_k\}_{PB} = \delta_{jk}, \quad \{\psi_j, \psi_k\}_{PB} = \delta_{jk}$$

The Super Symmetry transformations

$$\delta x_j = i \epsilon \psi_j$$

$$\delta \psi_j = -\epsilon \dot{x}_j$$

*

leave L invariant

$$\delta L = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\psi_j \epsilon \dot{x}_j)$$

leaving us to define the Noether charge (supercharge)

$$Q = i \epsilon P_j \psi_j = i \epsilon \dot{\psi}_j x_j$$

Recall that Q has an interesting transformation under *

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \delta Q &= i \epsilon \delta \dot{\psi}_j x_j + i \epsilon \dot{\psi}_j \frac{d}{dt} \delta x_j \\ &= i \epsilon (-\epsilon \dot{x}_j) \dot{x}_j + i \epsilon \dot{\psi}_j \frac{d}{dt} (i \epsilon \psi_j) \\ &= i \epsilon (-\epsilon \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_j + \dot{\psi}_j i \dot{\psi}_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$= -2i \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_j + \frac{i}{2} \dot{\psi}_j \dot{\psi}_j \right) = -2i \epsilon^2 L !$$

The variation gives back L_0

Further more consider

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q, Q\} &= 2Q^2 = 2(iP_j \psi_j)(iP_k \psi_k) \\ &= 2(iP_k \psi_k)(iP_j \psi_j) \\ &= \frac{2}{2} i^2 P_j P_k (\psi_j \psi_k + \psi_k \psi_j) \\ &= -P_j P_k (\delta_{jk}) = -P_k P_k \end{aligned}$$

We see that $Q^2 = -H!$ $= -2H!$
($x_j \in L$ - bosonic) (ψ_j, Q - fermionic)

lets apply some of this to

A General manifold. Take M as a dim $M = 2n$
Riemannian manifold with metric

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$$

if the x^μ are the coordinates in $U \subset M$

then the $\psi^\mu \in T_{x(t)}M$ where i abuse notation
and let t play a dual role of coordinate $x^\mu = (t, \dots)$
and parameter $\gamma(t)$ is a path in U such that
in local coordinates $\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = \tilde{X} = \tilde{X}^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \psi^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$

where here $\psi(t) = \psi(x(t))$

then

$$\psi^\mu \rightarrow \psi'^\mu = \frac{\partial x'^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} \psi^\nu$$

? under a susy transformation

$$\delta x'^{\mu} = \frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \delta x^{\nu} = \frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} i \epsilon \psi^{\nu}$$

$$\therefore \delta \psi'^{\mu} = \delta \left(\frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \psi^{\nu} \right) = \delta \left(\frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \right) \psi^{\nu} + \frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \delta \psi^{\nu}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}} (\delta x'^{\mu}) \psi^{\nu} + \frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} (-\epsilon \dot{x}^{\nu})$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}} \left(\frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\lambda}} \delta x^{\lambda} \right) \psi^{\nu} + - \epsilon \dot{x}^{\nu}$$

$$= \frac{\partial^2 x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu} \partial x^{\lambda}} \delta x^{\lambda} \psi^{\nu} - \epsilon \dot{x}^{\nu}$$

$$= \frac{\partial^2 x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu} \partial x^{\lambda}} i \epsilon \psi^{\lambda} \psi^{\nu} - \epsilon \dot{x}^{\nu} = - \epsilon \dot{x}^{\nu}$$

even summed over odd this vanishes.

We see the SUSY transformation is invariant under general coordinate transformations. This leads us to generalize Q to

$$Q = i \langle \dot{x}, \psi \rangle = i g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \psi^{\nu}$$

Remember $\delta Q = -2i \epsilon L$

$$\delta Q = i \delta (g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \psi^{\nu}) = i \left[\delta g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \psi^{\nu} + g_{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{dt} \delta x^{\mu} \psi^{\nu} + g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \delta \psi^{\nu} \right]$$

$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}(x^{\mu})$
 $\Rightarrow \delta g_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu\nu} \delta x^{\lambda}$

of coordinates



we need the following trick

$$g_{\mu\alpha} g^{\mu\rho} \left[\partial_\lambda g_{\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\lambda g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\rho g_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu g_{\lambda\rho}) \right] = \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu g_{\mu\alpha}$$

$$\partial_\lambda g_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\alpha} g^{\mu\rho} [\partial_\lambda g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\rho g_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu g_{\lambda\rho}] = \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu g_{\mu\alpha}$$

$$\boxed{\partial_\lambda g_{\alpha\nu} = 2 \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu g_{\mu\alpha}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta Q = i\epsilon [i \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} i \epsilon \psi^\dagger \dot{x}^\mu \psi^\nu + i g_{\mu\nu} \dot{\psi}^\mu \psi^\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu]$$

$$= i\epsilon [i \dot{x}^\nu \cdot 2 \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu g_{\beta\mu} \dot{x}^\lambda \psi^\dagger \psi^\nu + \dots]$$

$$= -2i\epsilon \left[-i \dot{x}^\nu \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu g_{\beta\mu} \dot{x}^\lambda \psi^\dagger \psi^\nu + \frac{i}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{\psi}^\mu \psi^\nu + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu \right]$$

Quick definition of some needed objects.

Connection 1-form $\Gamma^\mu_\nu = dx^\lambda \Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\nu}$

Curvature 2-form $\tilde{R}^\mu_\nu = d\Gamma^\mu_\nu + \Gamma^\mu_\sigma \wedge \Gamma^\sigma_\nu$

" " " "

in terms of $dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\sigma$ $\tilde{R}^\mu_\nu = \frac{1}{2} R^\mu_{\nu\rho\sigma} dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\sigma$

ordinary Riemann curvature

$$R^\kappa_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \langle dx^\kappa, \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \partial_\lambda - \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu \partial_\lambda \rangle$$

$$= \partial_\mu \Gamma^\kappa_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\kappa_{\mu\lambda} + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^\kappa_{\mu\rho} - \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\kappa_{\nu\rho}$$

Finally: let's look one more time at $\text{ind } D$

Consider vector bundles $E_\pm \xrightarrow{\pi} M$, $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$

$$D: \Gamma(M, E^+) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E^-)$$

$$D^t: \Gamma(M, E^-) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E^+)$$

$$D; D^t \text{ elliptic ops.}$$

Assuming D is Fredholm
 $\text{ind } D = \dim(\ker D) - \dim(\ker D^t)$

Further more $\text{ind } D$ is invariant under small deformations of D . Proof Nakahara pg 488

Theorem 12.5 $\text{ind } D = \text{Tr } e^{-\beta D^t D} - \text{Tr } e^{-\beta D D^t}$
 $\beta > 0$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\text{ind } D$ is independent of β .

Proof The trace is over the eigenstates

$\{ \phi_n \}$; $\{ \psi_n \}$; $D^+ D$, that is $D^+ D \phi_n = \lambda_n \phi_n$
 $\phi_n \equiv D \psi_n / \sqrt{\lambda_n}$

let $\{ \phi_i^0 \}$; $\{ \psi_i^0 \}$ be orthonormal eigensections

of $\ker D$; $\ker D^+$ respectively with
 $\dim \ker D = \kappa$
 $\dim \ker D^+ = \kappa'$

$$\text{Tr} e^{-\beta D^+ D} - \text{Tr} e^{-\beta D D^+}$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda_n \neq 0} \langle \phi_n | e^{-\beta D^+ D} | \phi_n \rangle - \sum_{\lambda_n \neq 0} \langle \psi_n | e^{-\beta D D^+} | \psi_n \rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \langle \phi_i^0 | \phi_i^0 \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa'} \langle \psi_i^0 | \psi_i^0 \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda_n \neq 0} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \langle \phi_n | (-\beta)^{\alpha} (D^+ D)^{\alpha} | \phi_n \rangle - \sum_{\lambda_n \neq 0} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \langle \psi_n | (-\beta)^{\alpha} (D D^+)^{\alpha} | \psi_n \rangle + (\kappa - \kappa')$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda_n \neq 0} e^{-\beta \lambda_n} \langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle - \sum_{\lambda_n \neq 0} e^{-\beta \lambda_n} \langle \psi_n | \psi_n \rangle + (\kappa - \kappa')$$

$$= \kappa - \kappa' = \dim(\ker D) - \dim(\ker D^+) \quad \checkmark$$

$$= \text{ind } D$$

additionally we see $\text{ind } D$ is independent of D
 (Tr is the heat kernel)

Suppose $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$ with

$$iQ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^+ \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E \rightarrow E$$

Then the Hamiltonian $H \equiv (iQ)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} D^+ D & 0 \\ 0 & D D^+ \end{pmatrix}$
 define also $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\text{Then } \text{ind } D = \text{Tr}(\Gamma e^{-\beta H}) = \text{Tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} e^{-\beta D^+ D} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\beta D D^+} \end{pmatrix} \right] = \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta D^+ D}] - \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta D D^+}]$$

Our case: Spin Bundle

M -spin manifold that is $w_2(M)$ second Stiefel-Whitney class is trivial. This means $SO(k) \rightarrow$ lifted to $SPIN$ bundle as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SO(k) & \longrightarrow & SPIN(k) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \\ M & & \end{array}$$

$E = \Delta(M)$ - is this spin bundle

Associated with $\Delta(M)$ is the Clifford

Algebra $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\delta^{\mu\nu}$

Chirality op: $\gamma_{2n+1} \equiv i^n \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_{2n}$

$$(\gamma_{2n+1})^2 = I \Rightarrow \pm 1 \text{ eigenvalues}$$

Decompose: $\Gamma(M, \Delta) = \Gamma(M, \Delta^+) \oplus \Gamma(M, \Delta^-)$

$$\gamma_{2n+1} \psi^\pm = \pm \psi^\pm$$

Assign: Fermion # $F = 0$ for $\psi \in \Gamma(M, \Delta^+)$
 $F = 1$ for $\psi \in \Gamma(M, \Delta^-)$

The Γ matrix on previous page is then $\Gamma = (-1)^F$

$$\{\psi, \Gamma\} \psi = \psi \Gamma \psi + \Gamma \psi \psi, \text{ Put } \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\psi \psi + \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ D^+ \psi^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ D^+ \psi^+ \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -D^+ \psi^+ \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Same for $\psi \in \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi^- \end{pmatrix}$

$$\{\psi, \Gamma\} = 0 \text{ since } \Gamma = \gamma_{2n+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{\psi, \gamma_{2n+1}\} = 0 \text{ leads us to write}$$

$$D = D \quad D^+ = D^\dagger$$

Susy & The Dirac Index:

Let us consider our previously defined Dirac operator \mathcal{Q} on M with $\dim M = 2n$

With $t \rightarrow -it$ (Wick rotation)

Recall $H = (i\mathcal{Q})^2 = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} p^2$

Then $\text{ind } \mathcal{Q} = \text{Tr } \Gamma e^{-\beta H} = \text{Tr } (-1)^F e^{-\beta H}$

$$= \int_{\text{PBC}} \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}\psi e^{-\int_0^\beta dt L}$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \psi^\mu \frac{D\psi^\nu}{Dt}$$

PBC: Periodic Boundary Conditions

Why? Consider

$$\text{Tr } (-1)^F e^{-\beta H} = \sum_n \langle n | (-1)^F e^{-\beta H} | n \rangle$$

Recall

$\mathcal{H} = \text{span}\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ for fermionic oscillator

Then

$$|f\rangle = |0\rangle f_0 + |1\rangle f_1, \quad f_0, f_1 \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$|\theta\rangle = |0\rangle + |1\rangle \theta$$

$$\langle \theta | = \langle 0 | + \theta^* \langle 1 | \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta, \theta^* \text{ Grassmann #'s} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\langle 1 | \theta \rangle = \langle 0 | \theta = \langle 0 | \theta$$

$$\langle \theta | \theta \rangle = \theta^* \langle 0 | = \theta^* \langle \theta |$$

$$\langle \theta' | \theta \rangle = (\langle 0 | + \theta'^* \langle 1 |)(|0\rangle + |1\rangle \theta)$$

$$= \langle 0 | 0 \rangle + \theta'^* \langle 1 | 1 \rangle \theta = 1 + \theta'^* \theta$$

$$\langle \theta | f \rangle = (\langle 0 | + \theta^* \langle 1 |)(|0\rangle f_0 + |1\rangle f_1)$$

$$= \langle 0 | 0 \rangle f_0 + \theta^* \langle 1 | 1 \rangle f_1 = f_0 + \theta^* f_1$$

$$\langle \theta | \theta^\dagger | f \rangle = \langle \theta | 1 \rangle f_0 = \theta^* f_0$$

$$\langle \theta | \theta | f \rangle = \langle \theta | 0 \rangle f_1 = f_1$$

Completeness

$$\int d\theta^* d\theta |0\rangle\langle 0| e^{-\theta^* \theta} = I$$

$$\int d\theta^* d\theta (|0\rangle + |1\rangle\theta)(\langle 0| + \theta^*\langle 1|)(1 - \theta^*\theta)$$

$$\int d\theta^* d\theta (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|\theta|0\rangle\langle 0| + |0\rangle\langle 1|\theta^*\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0|\theta\theta^*\langle 1|)(1 - \theta^*\theta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|\theta|0\rangle\langle 0| + |0\rangle\langle 1|\theta^*\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0|\theta\theta^*\langle 1|) \\ & - (|0\rangle\langle 0|\theta^*\theta + |1\rangle\langle 1|\theta\theta^*) \\ & - (-|0\rangle\langle 1|\theta\theta^*\langle 0|) \end{aligned}$$

only non zero

$$\int d\theta^* d\theta (|1\rangle\langle 1|\theta\theta^*\langle 1| + |0\rangle\langle 0|\theta\theta^*\langle 0|) = |1\rangle\langle 1| + |0\rangle\langle 0| = I$$

$$\rightarrow Z = \sum_n \langle n| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle$$

$$= \sum_n \int d\theta^* d\theta e^{-\theta^* \theta} \langle n|\theta\rangle\langle \theta| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle$$

$$= \sum_n \int d\theta^* d\theta (1 - \theta^*\theta) [\langle n|0\rangle + \langle n|1\rangle\theta] [\langle 0| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle + \theta^*\langle 1| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle]$$

$$= \sum_n \int d\theta^* d\theta (1 - \theta^*\theta) [\langle n|0\rangle\langle 0| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle + \langle n|0\rangle\theta^*\langle 1| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle$$

Rearrange

$$+ \langle n|1\rangle\theta\langle 0| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle + \langle n|1\rangle\theta\theta^*\langle 1| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\langle 0| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle \langle n|0\rangle - \theta^*\theta \langle 1| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle \langle n|1\rangle \\ & + \theta \langle 0| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle \langle n|1\rangle + \theta^*\langle 1| e^{-\beta H} |n\rangle \langle n|0\rangle] \end{aligned}$$

Note: $(-\theta) = |0\rangle - |1\rangle\theta$
will give zero.

flip its sign.

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_n \int d\theta^* d\theta e^{-\theta^* \theta} \left[\langle 0 | e^{-\beta H} | n \rangle \langle n | 0 \rangle - \theta^* \theta \langle 1 | e^{-\beta H} | n \rangle \langle n | 1 \rangle \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \theta \langle 0 | e^{-\beta H} | n \rangle \langle n | 1 \rangle - \theta^* \langle 1 | e^{-\beta H} | n \rangle \langle n | 0 \rangle \right] \\
& = \int d\theta^* d\theta e^{-\theta^* \theta} \left[\langle 0 | e^{-\beta H} | 0 \rangle - \theta^* \theta \langle 1 | e^{-\beta H} | 1 \rangle \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \theta \langle 0 | e^{-\beta H} | 1 \rangle - \theta^* \langle 1 | e^{-\beta H} | 0 \rangle \right] \\
& = \int d\theta^* d\theta e^{-\theta^* \theta} \left[(\langle 0 | - \theta^* \langle 1 |) e^{-\beta H} (| 0 \rangle + | 1 \rangle \theta) \right] \\
& = \int d\theta^* d\theta e^{-\theta^* \theta} \langle -\theta | e^{-\beta H} | \theta \rangle = Z \quad (\text{partition function for Fermionic oscillator})
\end{aligned}$$

back to $1 \pm$

$$\pm (-1)^F e^{-\beta H} = \sum_n \langle n | (-1)^F e^{-\beta H} | n \rangle - \text{using the above}$$

$$= \int d\theta^* d\theta \langle -\theta | (-1)^F e^{-\beta H} | \theta \rangle e^{-\theta^* \theta}$$

noting that $(-1)^F |\theta\rangle = |0\rangle - |1\rangle \theta = |-\theta\rangle$

we get

$$= \int d\theta^* d\theta \langle \theta | e^{-\beta H} | \theta \rangle e^{-\theta^* \theta}$$

∴ we have Periodic boundary conditions
 Not Anti-Periodic conditions

Continuing on The SUSY trans. Wick rotate

$$\delta X^\mu = i \epsilon \psi^\mu \quad \delta \psi = -i \epsilon \dot{X}^\mu$$

our action

$$\int_0^\beta dt \left[\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \psi^\mu \frac{D\psi^\nu}{Dt} \right]$$

can be manipulated a bit since
 we can consider $\beta \rightarrow 0$

Since $md\Omega$ is independent of β

$$\rightarrow t = \beta s \quad dt = \beta ds \quad \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{d}{ds}$$

$$\int_0^1 ds \beta \left[\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \psi^\mu \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{d\psi^\nu}{dt} + \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^{\nu} \dot{x}^\alpha \psi^\gamma \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \right]$$

$$\int_0^1 ds \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\beta} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \psi^\mu \frac{D\psi^\nu}{Dt} \right]$$

\therefore

$x^\mu = \text{constant}$, is main contribution
 $x^\mu \neq \text{constant}$ exponentially small contribution.

First Extremum of action (be careful with sign flips)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi^\mu} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}^\mu} \right) = 0 \quad \left[= \frac{D\psi^\mu}{Dt} \right] \text{ answer. due to Grassmann } \psi$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\rho}^{\mu} \frac{D\psi^\nu}{Dt} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \psi^\mu \left(\delta_{\rho}^{\nu} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \psi^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^{\nu} \dot{x}^\alpha \psi^\gamma$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} g_{\rho\nu} \frac{D\psi^\nu}{Dt} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \psi^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^{\nu} \dot{x}^\alpha \psi^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\rho} \dot{x}^\alpha \psi^\mu + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\rho} \frac{d\psi^\nu}{dt}$$

mult by $g^{\rho\mu}$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\delta_{\rho\nu}^{\mu} \frac{D\psi^\nu}{Dt} - g^{\rho\mu} g_{\alpha\nu} \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^{\nu} \dot{x}^\alpha \psi^\gamma + g^{\rho\mu} \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\rho} \dot{x}^\alpha \psi^\nu + \frac{g^{\rho\mu} d\psi^\nu}{dt} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{D\psi^\mu}{Dt} - g^{\rho\mu} g_{\alpha\nu} \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^{\nu} \dot{x}^\alpha \psi^\gamma + g^{\rho\mu} \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\rho} \dot{x}^\alpha \psi^\nu + \frac{d\psi^\mu}{dt} \right]$$

use an identity $\rightarrow g^{\rho\mu} [\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\rho} - g_{\nu\beta} \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^{\beta}] \dot{x}^\alpha \psi^\gamma$

$$= g^{\rho\mu} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\alpha g_{\rho\mu} - \partial_\nu g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\gamma}) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{D\psi^\mu}{Dt} + \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^{\mu} \dot{x}^\alpha \psi^\nu + \frac{d\psi^\mu}{dt} \right] = \frac{D\psi^\mu}{Dt} = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

↓ check this

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^\mu} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha \frac{D\psi^\beta}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\beta \dot{x}^\gamma \psi^\delta$$

$$- \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta + \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\beta \dot{x}^\gamma \psi^\delta \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha (\dot{\psi}^\beta + \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\beta \dot{x}^\gamma \psi^\delta)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\beta \dot{x}^\gamma \psi^\delta - \frac{1}{2} [2\partial_\lambda g_{\alpha\mu} \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\lambda + 2g_{\alpha\mu} \ddot{x}^\alpha]$$

$$+ \partial_\lambda g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\gamma \psi^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\delta}^\beta \psi^\delta + g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\delta}^\beta \dot{\psi}^\delta$$

$$+ g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha \partial_\lambda \Gamma_{\mu\delta}^\beta \dot{x}^\lambda \psi^\delta + g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\delta}^\beta \dot{\psi}^\delta]$$

$$= -g_{\alpha\mu} \ddot{x}^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta - \partial_\lambda g_{\alpha\mu} \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\lambda$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \psi^\alpha \dot{x}^\gamma \psi^\delta [\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\beta + g_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\beta - g_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\lambda \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta$$

$$- \partial_\lambda g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta] + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha \dot{\psi}^\beta - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\delta}^\beta \dot{\psi}^\delta$$

There are a lot of terms here.

First do the square bracket

and use

$$\partial_\lambda g_{\alpha\mu} = 2g_{\mu\alpha} \Gamma_{\lambda\gamma}^\mu$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \psi^\alpha \dot{x}^\gamma \psi^\delta [2g_{\gamma\alpha} \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\gamma \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\beta + g_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\beta - g_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\lambda \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta - 2g_{\gamma\alpha} \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\gamma \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\beta]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \psi^\alpha \dot{x}^\gamma \psi^\delta [R_{\alpha\gamma\mu\delta} + g_{\alpha\beta} (\Gamma_{\mu\delta}^\beta \Gamma_{\gamma\sigma}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\beta \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\sigma)]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\delta}^\beta \dot{\psi}^\delta$$

Now the second & third term on first line

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta - \partial_\beta g_{\alpha\mu} \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta$$

$$g_{\alpha\lambda} \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\lambda \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta - 2 g_{\alpha\lambda} \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\lambda \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta$$

$$= - g_{\alpha\lambda} \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\lambda \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta$$

Now final 3 terms

This page is incomplete i am not going to finish it. Whats missing is to show

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha \psi^\beta - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta (\psi^\alpha \psi^\gamma + \psi^\alpha \psi^\delta) = 0$$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta \psi^\alpha \psi^\gamma - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta (\psi^\alpha \psi^\gamma + \psi^\alpha \psi^\delta)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta (\psi^\alpha \psi^\delta - \psi^\alpha \psi^\gamma)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \psi^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu \psi^\nu \left(g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\gamma - \Gamma_{\mu\delta}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\gamma}^\alpha \right) - \dot{x}^\mu \psi^\alpha \psi^\nu \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\gamma + \psi^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\gamma \psi^\nu$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \psi^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu \psi^\nu \left(g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\gamma + g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\gamma \right) \psi^\alpha \psi^\nu$$

$$\dot{x}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\gamma \psi^\alpha \psi^\nu + g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\gamma \psi^\alpha \psi^\nu$$

$$- \psi^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\gamma + \psi^\alpha g_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\gamma$$

$$\dot{x}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\gamma \psi^\alpha \psi^\nu$$

to evaluate the path integral we need the fluctuation ops.

check indices

around $\psi_0^\mu = \psi^\mu$ $x_0^\mu = x^\mu$ The classical solutions

So put $\psi^\mu = \psi_0^\mu + \eta^\mu$; $x^\mu = x_0^\mu + \xi^\mu$ (1)

to make life easier use Riemann normal coordinates

That $g_{\mu\nu}|_{x=x_0} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ $\partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}|_{x=x_0} = 0$ (2)

In particular this means $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = 0$ at $x=x_0$

This does not mean $R^\mu_{\sigma\lambda} = 0$

to calculate the second order fluctuation ops

We need to act $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\mu}$; $\frac{\delta}{\delta \psi^\mu}$ on the respective Euler eqn.

Then the equations are given by (symbolically) $\delta D\xi + \delta D\eta$ with D the fluct.

$$x_0^\mu - g_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{dx^\nu}{dt} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu x^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta \right) + \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \psi^\mu \psi^\nu \dot{x}^\lambda \dot{x}^\rho$$

first evaluate with (1) ; (2)

$$- \delta_{\lambda\mu} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (x_0^\lambda + \xi^\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} (\psi_0^\mu + \eta^\mu) (\psi_0^\nu + \eta^\nu) \frac{d}{dt} (x_0^\lambda + \xi^\lambda) \frac{d}{dt} (x_0^\rho + \xi^\rho)$$

$$- \delta_{\lambda\mu} \frac{d^2 \eta^\mu}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \psi_0^\mu \psi_0^\nu \xi^\lambda \dot{x}^\rho + \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \psi_0^\mu \eta^\nu \dot{x}^\lambda \dot{x}^\rho$$

2nd order

$$+ \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \eta^\mu \psi_0^\nu \dot{x}^\lambda \dot{x}^\rho + \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \dot{x}^\lambda \dot{x}^\rho$$

3rd order

4th order

orders when thinking of $\delta D\xi$

fluc op is then

$$- \delta_{\lambda\mu} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \psi_0^\mu \psi_0^\nu = - \delta_{\lambda\nu} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + R_{\lambda\rho} \delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{dt}$$

$$\text{eqn is } \langle \xi, D\xi \rangle = - \eta^\mu \frac{d^2 \eta^\mu}{dt^2} + R_{\lambda\nu} \xi^\lambda \dot{\eta}^\nu$$

For ψ $\frac{D\psi^\mu}{Dt} = 0$

$$\frac{D(\psi_0^\mu + \eta^\mu)}{Dt} = 0$$

$$\frac{D\eta^\mu}{Dt} = \frac{d\eta^\mu}{dt} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \psi^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta = 0$$

$$= \frac{d\eta^\mu}{dt}, \text{ fluct op is}$$

eqm is

$$\langle \eta, D\eta \rangle = \eta^\mu \frac{d\eta_\mu}{dt}$$

The

second order action is then

$$S_2 = \int_0^1 dt \left\{ \xi^\mu \frac{d^2 z}{dt^2} \left[\mu - \eta^\mu \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \xi^\nu + \eta^\mu \frac{d\eta_\mu}{dt} \right] \right.$$

with ops.

$$D\xi = \mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu} \frac{d^2 z}{dt^2} - \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{dt}$$

$$D\eta = \frac{d}{dt}$$

Currently we have

$$\text{ind } Q = N \int D\tilde{z} D\xi e^{-S_2}$$

lets decompose z ; ξ as

$$z^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z_n^\mu e^{i\alpha n t / \beta}$$

$$\xi^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_n^\mu e^{i\alpha n t / \beta}$$

and since we have the fluctuation operators we can write down the "solution"

$$D\tilde{z} = \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{\mu=1}^d dz_n^\mu, \quad D\xi = \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{\mu=1}^d d\xi_n^\mu$$

We will separately calculate the zero modes dz_0^μ $d\xi_0^\mu$

$$\text{ind } Q = N \int \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{\mu=1}^d dz_n^\mu d\xi_n^\mu e^{-\int dt \xi^\mu \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{dt} \right) z^\nu + z^\mu \frac{d}{dt} z^\mu}$$

and recall that the gaussian integrals are solved via a functional determinant

$$\text{ind } Q = N \int \prod_{\mu=1}^d dz_0^\mu d\xi_0^\mu \left[\det \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{dt} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \left[\det \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{dt} \right) \right]^{1/2}$$



$$N \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{\mu=1}^d d z_n^\mu d \bar{z}_n^\mu e^{-\int dt \left(\dot{z}^\mu \left(g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dz^\nu}{dt} - \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{dt} \right) \dot{z}^\nu + \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{dt} z^\mu \right)}$$

$$e^{-\int dt \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left(\dot{z}_n^\mu \left(\frac{i2\pi n t}{\beta} \left(\frac{i2\pi n}{\beta} \right)^2 \frac{i2\pi n t}{\beta} \right) - \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \frac{z_n^\mu z_n^\nu}{i\beta} \left(\frac{i2\pi n}{\beta} \right) \right)}$$

$$+ z_n^\mu z_m^\nu \left(\frac{i2\pi n}{\beta} \right) e^{i2\pi t(n+m)}$$

$$+ \tilde{z}_n^\mu \tilde{z}_m^\nu \left(\frac{i2\pi n}{\beta} \right) - \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{z}_n^\mu \tilde{z}_{-n}^\nu \left(\frac{i2\pi n}{\beta} \right)$$

$$- i2\pi n z_n^\mu \tilde{z}_{-n}^\nu$$

$$e^{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left(\frac{4\pi^2 n^2}{\beta^2} \right) - \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left(\frac{i2\pi n}{\beta} \right) - i2\pi n z_n^\mu \tilde{z}_{-n}^\nu}$$

$$\dots e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left(\frac{4\pi^2 n^2}{\beta^2} \right) - \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left(\frac{i2\pi n}{\beta} \right) - i2\pi n z_n^\mu \tilde{z}_{-n}^\nu}$$

$$\dots e^{i2\pi n z_n^\mu \tilde{z}_{-n}^\nu}$$

or put $m \rightarrow -m$ and $z_n^\mu \tilde{z}_m^\nu + \dots$

This is nothing more than a gaussian integration and we have a product of the eigenvalues

$$\Rightarrow N \prod_{\mu=1}^d \int d z_0^\mu d \bar{z}_0^\mu \left[\det \left(-g_{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{dt} + \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}(x_0) \right) \right]^{-1/2}$$

doesn't include zero modes.

to continue we need

$N = N_b N_f$ The normalization
 This can be calculated from the
 free expressions

$$= \int D\psi e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \psi \cdot \dot{\psi} dt}$$

$$= N_f \text{Det}(\partial_{\mu\nu} \partial_t)^{1/2} \int d\psi_0^1 \dots d\psi_0^{2n}$$

⋮

i am skipping this

i have run out of time
 for these & seminar talks
 see nakahara

$$\rightarrow N_f = i^n$$

like wise

$$\int Dx^\mu e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}_\mu dt}$$

$$= N_b \frac{1}{(\text{Det}(-\partial_{\mu\nu} \partial_t^2))^{1/2}} \int dx_0^1 \dots dx_0^{2n}$$

⋮

$$N_b = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ind } Q = i^n \int \prod_{m=1}^n \frac{d\psi_0^m}{\sqrt{2\pi}} d\psi_0^m \left(\text{Det} \left(-\partial_{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{dt} + \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}(x_0) \right) \right)^{-1/2}$$

now we need
 notice

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \psi_0^\rho \psi_0^\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \psi_0^\sigma \psi_0^\rho \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \psi_0^\rho \psi_0^\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \psi_0^\rho \psi_0^\sigma = \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. its grassmann even

and $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = -\tilde{R}_{\nu\mu}$ This means we can

Write

(in even dim manifolds)

$$\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_1 & & & \\ -\gamma_1 & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \gamma_n \\ & & & & & -\gamma_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $-\partial_{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{dt} + \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}$ in the first block looks like

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -d/dt & \gamma_1 \\ -\gamma_1 & -d/dt \end{pmatrix} = \det \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \gamma_1^2 \right)$$

$$= \prod_{n \neq 0} \left(\gamma_1^2 - \left(\frac{2\pi n}{\beta} \right)^2 \right)$$

$$= \left[\prod_{n \neq 0} \left(\frac{2\pi n}{\beta} \right)^2 \prod_{n \neq 0} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_1^2 \beta^2}{(2\pi n)^2} \right) \right]^{1/2}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\sin \beta \gamma_1 / 2}{\gamma_1 / 2} \right)^2$$

$$\frac{\sin x}{x} = \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{4\pi^2} \right) \dots$$

$$= \prod_{n \neq 0} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{n^2 \pi^2} \right)$$

but we have n blocks so

$$\det(\quad) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\gamma_j / 2}{\sin \beta \gamma_j / 2}$$

This is written formally as

$$\frac{1}{\beta^{d/2}} \det \left(\frac{\beta \hat{R}}{\sin \beta \hat{R} / 2} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\text{ind } Q = i^n \int \prod_{\mu=1}^{2n} \frac{d\xi_0^\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{d\tilde{\xi}_0^\mu}{\beta^{d/2}} \det \left(\frac{\beta \hat{R}}{\sin \beta \hat{R} / 2} \right)^{1/2}$$

Some formal tricks let us change variables and erase the apparent β dependence

$$\text{ind } Q = i^n \int \prod_{\mu=1}^{2n} dX_0^\mu d\tilde{X}_0^\mu \det \left(\frac{\frac{1}{\beta\pi} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} X_0^\rho X_0^\sigma}{\sin \left(\frac{1}{\beta\pi} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} X_0^\rho X_0^\sigma \right)} \right)^{1/2} = \nu_0^+ - \nu_0^-$$

Atiyah-Singer index theorem for Dirac op₀